

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,589	10/08/2004	Yoshihiko Hamawaki	JP02 0010 US	9256
24738	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			DUONG, TAI V	
	1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ SAN JOSE, CA 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/510,589	HAMAWAKI, YOSHIHIKO
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Tai Duong	2871
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice under the second s	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the liderating of the liderating of the liderating of the drawing o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ı	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/08/04	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/510,589

Art Unit: 2871

The information disclosure statement filed 10/08/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The Akins R. et al article as listed on the IDS has not been filed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujimori et al (US 2001/0008437) cited on the International Search Report.

Note Fig. 1A which identically disclose the claimed LCD device and the method comprising a first substrate 11 including a reflecting member 22 arranged in a first region Rf other than the transmissive region Tr, and a second substrate 9 comprising a scattering member 30 arranged in at least part of the transmissive region (paragraphs 0059-0061).

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narutaki et al (US 6,215,538) cited on the International Search Report.

Note Figs. 20 and 21 which identically disclose the claimed LCD device and the method comprising a first substrate 1 including a reflecting member 3 arranged in a first region other than the transmissive region (region corresponding to transparent electrode

Application/Control Number: 10/510,589

Art Unit: 2871

8), and a second substrate 2 comprising a scattering member (not shown but disclosed in col. 33, lines 12-14)) arranged in at least part of the transmissive region (col. 31, line 65 – col. 33, line 35).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al (US 2001/0008437) in view of Sekiguchi (US 2002/0145688).

Claims 3, 4 and 7 are similar to claims 1 and 5 but additionally recite the feature "a first color filter having a scattering effect arranged in at least part of the transmissive region and a second color filter arranged in a second region corresponding to said first region". The only difference between the LCD and method of Fujimori et al and that of the instant claims is the color filter 10 having a scattering effect. Sekiguchi discloses that it was known to form color filters having a scattering effect (paragraphs 01116-0123). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ color filters having a scattering effect in the LCD and method of Fujimori et al (instead of a separate scattering member and a separate color filter) for obtaining a LCD panel with good display and wide viewing angle, as disclosed by Sekiguchi (paragraph 0123).

Claims 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narutaki et al (US 6,215,538) in view of Sekiguchi (US 2002/0145688).

Application/Control Number: 10/510,589

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 3, 4 and 7 are similar to claims 1 and 5 but additionally recite the feature "a first color filter having a scattering effect arranged in at least part of the transmissive region and a second color filter arranged in a second region corresponding to said first region". The only difference between the LCD and method of Narutaki et al and that of the instant claims is the color filter (11A, 11B, 11C) having a scattering effect. Sekiguchi discloses that it was known to form color filters having a scattering effect (paragraphs 01116-0123). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ color filters having a scattering effect in the LCD and method of Narutaki et al (instead of a separate scattering member and a separate color filter) for obtaining a LCD panel with good display and wide viewing angle, as disclosed by Sekiguchi (paragraph 0123).

Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2 and 6 are allowed over the prior art of record because none of the prior art discloses or suggests a LCD and method as recited in claim 1 or 5 *in combination* with the feature "wherein said second substrate comprises other scattering member arranged in a second region corresponding to said first region, the other scattering member having a lower scattering effect than that of said scattering member".

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

TD TVD

03/06